

Selecting the Right Client Management System for Your Law Firm

A STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR LITIGATION, INSURANCE DEFENSE, AND GROWING FIRMS

Presented by Anchor Point LLC

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	Strategy Before Software
Part I	The Operational Inflection Point —— Why Firms Finally Act
Part II	The Hidden Costs of Legacy Systems
Part III	Why CMS Implementations Fail
Part IV	Defining Operational Requirements Before Evaluating Platforms
Part V	The Operational Readiness Assessment
Part VI	Managing Transition Risk
Part VII	The Future of Legal Operations

> *"The firms that treat CMS modernization as a technology project will spend a great deal of money to recreate the same operational problems on a newer platform. The firms that treat it as an operational strategy initiative will build a foundation that compounds in value for years."*
>
> —— Scott Kepner, Managing Member, Anchor Point LLC

Executive Summary —— Strategy Before Software

For most law firms, the client management system sits at the center of daily operations. It dictates how billing is captured, how matters are managed, how financial workflows are executed, and how the firm communicates with its clients. Despite this central role, most firms approach CMS decisions primarily as technology projects rather than operational strategy initiatives.

This misalignment is costly. When software is selected without a rigorous understanding of the firm's operational workflows, the result is predictable: workflow disruption, billing inefficiencies, poor user adoption, inadequate reporting, and failed implementations. The challenge is particularly acute for firms operating on legacy infrastructure, navigating leadership transitions, or managing complex carrier billing requirements.

A successful CMS strategy requires more than evaluating software features. It requires a clear understanding of operational workflows, billing requirements, reporting needs, staffing structures, integration dependencies, and financial controls. This white paper provides a strategic framework law firms can use to evaluate their current operational environment, define future-state requirements, and approach CMS modernization in a structured, sustainable manner.

PART I —— The Operational Inflection Point: Why Firms Finally Act

Most law firms do not begin evaluating a new client management system simply because a vendor introduces a compelling new feature. The evaluation process almost always begins after operational friction reaches an intolerable threshold —— and by that point, the firm has typically been absorbing the cost of that friction for years without fully recognizing it.

In legacy environments, systems often continue functioning adequately for years despite growing operational inefficiencies. Over time, however, the firm begins relying on undocumented workarounds, institutional knowledge held by a single employee, manual spreadsheet reporting, and fragmented secondary systems to compensate for the core system's limitations. The system is not broken —— it is simply no longer aligned with how the firm actually operates.

The triggers that finally force an evaluation typically fall into three categories. **Technical instability** —— recurring server crashes, mounting IT maintenance costs, remote access limitations, and escalating cybersecurity concerns —— is usually the most visible. **Financial friction** —— delayed billing cycles, rejected invoices due to formatting limitations, and inability to support carrier-specific LEDES requirements —— is often the most expensive. **Growth constraints** —— leadership transitions where incoming partners demand better reporting, scalability limitations, and staffing dependencies where the departure of a single billing coordinator threatens cash flow —— are frequently the most urgent.

For litigation and insurance defense firms in particular, these challenges directly impact billing realization and carrier compliance. A firm that cannot reliably produce LEDES-formatted invoices with accurate UTBMS task codes is not just dealing with an administrative inconvenience —— it is leaving money on the table with every billing cycle.

> **THE CORE INSIGHT**
>
> In many cases, the client management system itself is not the sole problem. Rather, the system has become misaligned with the firm's current operational needs, staffing structure, and strategic direction. Replacing the software without addressing the underlying operational misalignment guarantees that the same inefficiencies will simply be transferred to a newer, more expensive platform.

PART II —— The Hidden Costs of Legacy Systems

The most visible issue with legacy systems is often technical instability —— the server crash that stops work for an afternoon. However, the larger costs are frequently operational and financial. These hidden costs accumulate gradually over time and rarely appear directly on a profit and loss statement, which is precisely why they persist for so long before leadership acts.

OPERATIONAL INEFFICIENCY

As systems age, firms develop manual processes to compensate for missing functionality. Attorneys enter time in one system while staff re-enter it into another. Reports are manually compiled in spreadsheets because the system cannot generate the required KPIs. Matter setup procedures become inconsistent, leading to fragmented document storage and disconnected communication tools. While an individual workaround may only cost ten minutes a day, the cumulative impact across a firm of twenty attorneys and ten support staff represents hundreds of thousands of dollars in lost productivity annually.

STAFFING DEPENDENCY AND INSTITUTIONAL KNOWLEDGE RISK

Many firms become dangerously dependent on specific employees who understand historical workflows, billing exceptions, custom reporting procedures, and system workarounds. When critical operational knowledge exists primarily in individuals rather than documented processes, the firm carries massive operational risk. A retirement, unexpected turnover, or leadership transition can instantly paralyze the firm's billing cycle. This is not a hypothetical —— it is one of the most common operational crises Anchor Point is called in to address.

BILLING AND FINANCIAL VISIBILITY LIMITATIONS

For litigation and insurance defense firms, operational inefficiencies frequently surface where it hurts most: billing. Legacy systems often struggle to support modern LEDES billing requirements and UTBMS task codes. The result is delayed billing cycles, manual invoice adjustments, and high rejection rates from carrier portals. Without accurate operational visibility, firm leadership struggles to track matter profitability, monitor realization rates, and make informed strategic decisions regarding staffing and pricing.

30–60	3–5%	$150K+	1
DAYS DELAYED PER REJECTED INVOICE	TYPICAL REALIZATION LOSS FROM BILLING ERRORS	ANNUAL LEAKAGE FOR A $5M FIRM AT 3% LOSS	KEY DEPARTURE AWAY FROM BILLING PARALYSIS

PART III —— Why CMS Implementations Fail

Law firms routinely underestimate the operational complexity involved in CMS modernization. Many implementations fail not because the selected software lacks functionality, but because the firm approaches the project primarily as a software replacement initiative rather than an operational transformation. The distinction matters enormously.

FAILURE MODE 1

Undefined Operational Requirements

Firms frequently begin evaluating vendors before clearly defining their own workflow requirements, reporting expectations, billing complexity, and integration needs. As a result, software demonstrations focus on flashy features rather than operational alignment. A vendor will gladly show how easily their system generates a generic invoice —— but unless the firm demands a live demonstration of a complex split-billing scenario with specific LEDES formatting, critical gaps will not be discovered until after the contract is signed.

FAILURE MODE 2

Inadequate Billing and Financial Analysis

Billing workflows are the most operationally sensitive area of any law firm. Failure to properly evaluate prebill review processes, trust accounting workflows, realization reporting, and financial integrations creates substantial disruption after implementation. If the new system cannot handle the firm's specific fee arrangements —— fixed fees, contingency matters, hybrid arrangements, or carrier-specific billing requirements —— the firm will be forced to develop new manual workarounds on day one.

FAILURE MODE 3

Limited Stakeholder Input

Successful implementations require input from partners, billing personnel, accounting staff, administrators, IT personnel, and operational leadership. When decisions are made solely by a managing partner or IT director without input from the people who will use the system daily, critical workflow requirements are inevitably overlooked.

FAILURE MODE 4

Weak Change Management

Even perfectly designed systems will fail if users are inadequately trained, workflows are inconsistently adopted, or operational ownership is unclear. Technology alone rarely solves operational inconsistency. Successful CMS projects require communication, training, governance, accountability, and ongoing operational oversight. A firm that invests $80,000 in a new platform and $2,000 in training has its priorities backwards.

PART IV —— Defining Operational Requirements Before Evaluating Platforms

Before evaluating software vendors, firms must establish a clear operational framework identifying both current-state challenges and future-state objectives. This allows leadership to evaluate

platforms based on operational alignment rather than feature lists —— and it prevents the most common and costly mistake in CMS selection: falling in love with a demo.

Operational Area	Key Evaluation Criteria
Matter Management	Matter intake procedures, conflict check workflows, deadline and calendaring management, task assignment and visibility, and matter lifecycle standardization across departments.
Billing & Financial Workflows	Time entry procedures, prebill review and approval workflows, write-down tracking, carrier-specific billing requirements (LEDES/UTBMS), trust accounting workflows, realization analysis, and collections visibility.
Reporting & Operational Visibility	Required management reports, operational KPIs, matter profitability analysis, staffing metrics, and financial dashboard requirements for firm leadership decision-making.
Document Management	File organization standards, remote accessibility, version control, permission structures, and integration with dedicated document management systems.
Integration Architecture	Interconnectivity with accounting platforms (e.g., QuickBooks Online), payroll systems, document management systems, communication tools, and workflow automation platforms.
Scalability & Future-State	Support for firm growth, remote work, operational standardization, AI-assisted workflows, staffing flexibility, and enhanced operational visibility over a 3—5 year horizon.

THE ANCHOR POINT APPROACH

Requirements Before Demos

Anchor Point begins every CMS evaluation engagement by building a complete operational requirements document before a single vendor is contacted. We map your current workflows, document your specific billing and reporting requirements, identify integration dependencies, and define what "success" looks like operationally —— not just technically. When vendors finally come to the table, the firm is evaluating them against a defined standard rather than reacting to a polished sales presentation.

PART V —— The Operational Readiness Assessment

Before initiating any CMS transition, firms should conduct a structured operational readiness assessment. The goal is not merely identifying software requirements, but understanding how operational processes currently function throughout the firm —— including the undocumented ones that exist only in someone's head.

WORKFLOW MAPPING

Documenting the entire matter lifecycle process, from intake through final billing and collection. This includes mapping approval workflows, reporting structures, and operational handoffs between departments. Firms are consistently surprised by how many undocumented steps exist in their own processes until they sit down to map them.

STAFFING AND KNOWLEDGE DEPENDENCY ANALYSIS

Identifying undocumented procedures, key-person dependencies, training gaps, and operational ownership concerns. If only one person knows how to generate the month-end realization report, that process must be documented before a transition begins. The readiness assessment is the moment to surface these risks —— not after the new system is live.

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION

Reviewing current hosting environments, server dependencies, integration architecture, backup procedures, and remote access functionality to ensure the firm's IT foundation can support a

modern, cloud-based CMS. A firm migrating from a server-based legacy system to a cloud platform is not just changing software — it is changing its entire infrastructure model.

TRANSITION RISK ASSESSMENT

Evaluating migration complexity, operational disruption risk, staff adoption challenges, and implementation sequencing considerations. A structured assessment process provides leadership with greater clarity before committing to software selection or implementation timelines — and it prevents the firm from signing a contract before it understands what it is actually committing to.

PART VI — Managing Transition Risk

CMS modernization projects introduce operational risk that must be carefully managed. The most successful transitions occur through phased implementation, detailed planning, clear governance, and strong operational leadership. The firms that rush this process — driven by vendor pressure or impatience — pay for it in billing disruption, data integrity problems, and staff turnover.

DATA INTEGRITY AND MIGRATION

Firms must evaluate how historical billing data, matter records, trust balances, and documents will be migrated. Bringing years of bad data into a new system defeats the purpose of modernization. The transition period is the ideal time to clean up historical records, close dormant matters, and reconcile legacy trust balances. This is not optional — it is the foundation on which the new system's reporting will be built.

BILLING CONTINUITY

For most firms, billing disruption represents the greatest implementation risk. Leadership must ensure that billing workflows are fully mapped, carrier requirements are validated, and testing procedures are completed prior to transition. A firm should never send its first invoice from a new system without having run a parallel test cycle first. For insurance defense firms, this means validating LEDES output against carrier portal requirements before go-live — not after the first rejection.

GOVERNANCE AND OPERATIONAL OWNERSHIP

Every successful implementation requires clear ownership of workflows, reporting standards, operational policies, and ongoing system administration. Without operational governance, even

strong platforms become fragmented over time as users revert to old habits or create new undocumented workarounds. The governance structure established during implementation becomes the operational foundation the firm will build on for years.

THE ANCHOR POINT APPROACH

Operational Continuity Through Transition

Anchor Point manages CMS transitions as operational projects, not IT projects. We develop the billing continuity plan, validate the data migration strategy, define staff training requirements, and establish post-go-live operational governance —— then hold the transition vendor accountable for executing against that plan. Our role is to ensure the firm's operational standards are protected throughout the process. Our goal is that the firm's clients never notice the transition happened —— because the billing kept flowing, the reports kept running, and the workflows kept working.

PART VII —— The Future of Legal Operations

The operational demands placed on law firms continue to evolve rapidly. Increasingly, firms are evaluating workflow automation, AI-assisted operational processes, centralized reporting, remote infrastructure, and enhanced operational visibility. The client management system is no longer just a billing and matter management tool —— it is the operational hub through which all of these capabilities will be delivered.

At the same time, firms continue facing staffing challenges, leadership transitions, increasing client expectations, and pressure to improve operational efficiency. The future of legal operations will place greater emphasis on operational standardization, scalable workflows, integrated reporting, reduced key-person dependency, and data-driven decision-making. The firms that build their operational infrastructure on a modern, well-implemented CMS will be better positioned to adapt to these changes than those that continue deferring the decision.

Selecting a client management system is not merely a technology decision. It is an operational decision that impacts workflows, staffing, billing, reporting, financial visibility, and long-term scalability. Firms that focus solely on software features often overlook the broader operational considerations necessary for long-term success. By approaching CMS modernization strategically —— with careful evaluation of workflows, operational readiness, financial controls, and transition planning —— firms can significantly improve the likelihood of a successful outcome and build an operational foundation that compounds in value for years.

Ready to Evaluate Your CMS Options?

Anchor Point works with law firms to evaluate operational workflows, financial systems, billing processes, and transition readiness as part of broader modernization and operational strategy initiatives. We bring 30 years of law firm operational experience to every engagement — and we start with your operation, not a vendor's feature list.

Scott Kepner

Founder & Managing Member, Anchor Point LLC

913.702.0295 | scott@anchorpointsolutions.com | anchorpointsolutions.com

---

About Anchor Point LLC

Anchor Point LLC provides fractional COO, financial, and operational infrastructure for law firms and professional services organizations across the Kansas City region and beyond. Founded in 2021 by Scott Kepner, Anchor Point brings more than 30 years of operational leadership across Fortune 500 enterprise, the public sector, and full-service law firms to every client engagement.